

INTERNATIONAL SKATING UNION

Communication No. 1160

2002 ISU Congress – Urgent Matters

According to Section VIII Procedural Provisions to the Constitution Part A Congress, paragraph 5, if a proposal for the Constitution, its Procedural Provisions or for the Regulations is submitted later than December 1, it can be discussed and voted upon at Congress, provided the proposal has reached the ISU Secretariat in English for distribution to all Members, not later than three weeks before the Congress and shall be certified as urgent business by a four-fifths majority of Members present and voting.

The following urgent matters have been submitted:

Urgent Proposal No. 1

United States, Figure

Rule 104, Paragraph 16. a)

Amend to read as follows:

The ISU Council may exclude a Member or affiliated club or an eligible person (including skaters, ISU Office Holders, Advisors, Honorary Members, Judges, Referees, Federation Officials and members of an ISU Member) from all events listed in Rule 107 and other Skating events, including exhibitions, and suspend an eligible person from the respective function/position for a definite period if the Council, based on reasonable, verified and credible evidence, determines that the eligible person thereof has violated any ISU Regulation or the Constitution, including misconduct. If the misconduct constitutes an ethical violation, the suspension shall be for life. The Member or affiliated club, or the eligible person thereof must be notified in writing of such a decision and the reasons therefore.

Reason: Any advisor, judge, referee, federation official or member of an ISU federation found guilty of misconduct or an ethical violation should be banned for life from judging or officiating. A bank would not re-hire an employee found guilty of altering its books. The ISU should not either. It is proposed that the sanction would apply to individuals only. Also, language should be consistent with ISU Rule 125, paragraph 4. a). It is strongly recommended that a committee be formed to write a code of ethics to be included in the Agenda for the 50th Ordinary Congress.

Urgent Proposal No. 2

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 107, paragraph 7 new

Amend as follows:

International Novice Competitions

a) open to all Members of the ISU

b) limited to certain countries or restricted in any way.

Renumber subsequent paragraphs accordingly

Reason: To introduce the Novice level to international competitions, which must be conducted in accordance with the Rules of the ISU.

Urgent Proposal No. 3

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 108, paragraph 2 d) new

Add new paragraph d) as follows:

d) in International Novice Competitions a Novice is skater who has met the following requirements before July 1st preceding these Events.

(i) must not have reached the age of Fifteen (15) for girls, Seventeen (17) for boys

(ii) neither partner may be younger than Nine (9)

Reason: To specify requirements for Novice skaters.

Urgent Proposal No. 4

ISU Council

Rule 121, paragraph 3, new

Side Title: New Judging System for Figure Skating

- a) For Figure Skating (Single skating and Pair skating), Ice Dancing and Synchronized Skating competitions the ISU will issue a Scale of Value for each and every element that compose the events of Figure Skating. i.e. Short Program, Free Skating, Compulsory Dance, Original Dance, Free Dance, for single skaters, pairs, couples and teams.
- b) Each skater, pair, couple, team, shall present an official form indicating the “planned program content”, including the elements and with a time code, for each event of the competition.
- c) The Members, which will be divided into geographical regions, will submit to the ISU their lists of qualified Judges.
- d) The ISU will create and publish a list of qualified international Judges in representation of geographical regions.
- e) At the competitions the following will determine the attendance of Officials:.
 - 16 Judges selected from the published ISU list respecting the geographical region concept. The criteria of random drawing will be used for the selection and composition of the panel for each competition.
 - 4 Experts, from the published ISU list, will be used to determine whether an element and which element has or has not been performed.
 - Out of the 16 Judges, 1 will be drawn as the Assistant Referee who will supervise the work of the 4 Experts, 1 will be drawn as the Referee who will act as the supervisor and coordinator of the remaining 14 Judges. The Referee will also vote, but this vote would not be used to form the result.
 - The 14 Judges will all be seated at the rinkboard and will judge the entire competition.
- f) A secret and sealed computer will randomly select 7 of the 14 Judges whose marks will be used to form the result of each skater, pair, couple, team and therefore compose the total result. Although all Judges will officiate, only 7 and the same 7 will be used throughout the entire competition. Before, during and after the competition, nobody will know the identity of the 7 judges of the 14 that have actually “judged” the competition.
- g) The Judge will be equipped with a touch-screen computer, with the video replay system, and a specially designed keypad.
- h) Each skater, pair, couple, team, will be awarded with points according to the ISU Scale of Value relevant to the elements performed in the respective program. In addition, each skater will receive from each of the 7 selected judges the so-called Grade of Execution mark that together with the Scale of Value points will form the Technical Score.
- i) In addition to the Technical Score, each of the 7 Judges will assign the skater’s whole performance, which is divided in basic skating skills (speed, steps etc) and performance skills (artistry, musicality etc), each a mark ranging from 0.1 to 6.0. This mark will be multiplied by a special factor to obtain the Presentation Score. The Technical Score and the Presentation Score will be combined to give the **Total Score** (the total points of the skater).
- j) Guidelines will determine the maximum number of elements permitted for a “well balanced program”. A package of prescribed elements will cover this area for Ice Dancing.
- k) No review meeting will be held at the end of each competition. However, at the end of the season a dedicated commission/s will meet to make a controlled analysis to determine through the computer if evident anomalies occurred in each competition. Only in the case of evident and/or repeated anomalies, is the dedicated commission/s permitted to know the name of the Judge concerned regardless of whether the Judge was among the 7 who actually formed the result or was one of the other 7 who “unofficially” judged the competition.
- l) The input from the Experts, the points, marks and all data submitted by the Judges and the Referee, will be processed by a computerized system. Immediately, at the end of each event, i.e. Short Program, Free Skating, Compulsory Dance, Original Dance, Free Dance, the computer tape/disk will be put into a sealed envelope and at the end of the competition the full set of tape/disks will be sealed in another envelope and sent by courier to the ISU Secretariat or to a Notary in Lausanne, where it will remain sealed until the day the dedicated commission/s will require the tape/disk for evaluating the judging as indicated in sub-paragraph k).
- m) The dedicated commission/s will be formed by the ISU Council, taking into consideration and respecting that a balanced number of individuals representing different regional areas will compose the commission/s.
- n) In the case of a Judge being responsible for evident and/or repeated anomalies, the ISU would suspend the Judge for a minimum period of two years, effective the day when the suspension was decided.
- o) All the officials (20 per competition) will receive compensation at the end of the season for serving as an official at competitions, but in the case of a suspension, then no money would be paid to the suspended official/s for the whole season concerned.

p) The Judging System based on the above mentioned criteria can be used for test purposes at international events until the ISU Council considers that it can be implemented at the major ISU Events. Under the condition that the main criteria here mentioned are maintained and respected, technical rules, procedures and anything needed for the implementation of this Judging System will be published in ad-hoc ISU Communications. Only progressive experience and tests would permit to update the technical rules and procedure to adapt the system and render it suitable and workable for the intended purpose.

Reason: (1) to limit the possibilities of “block judging”; (2) to negate the opportunity for improper influences on, or control of, the Judges by the Members or others; and (3) to score all technical performance elements of the skaters’ presentation with cumulative points rather than deducting for mistakes.

Urgent Proposal No. 5

Canada, Figure

Rule 122, paragraph 5 new

5. All Referees and Judges officiating at any competition or championship must be eligible persons as defined in ISU Rule 102, and persons shall not officiate during their term of office as presidents of Member Federations.

Reason: All competitions must be officiated by and have the appearance of being officiated by persons who are not or who are not perceived to be in a position of conflict of interest.

Urgent Proposal No. 6

Korea

Rule 123, paragraph 1

Revise to read:

1. Protest must be lodged with Referee in writing. At the same time 20 Swiss Francs or other convertible currency must be deposited. However, verbal protests can be also lodged with Referee with 20 Swiss Francs or other convertible currency deposited, in which case the contents of the verbal protests made must be later put in writing and lodged with Referee before the start of next event.

Reason: Under the characteristics of Short Track Speed Skating competitions proceeding fast, there is practically not much time for protests to be prepared in writing to be lodged with Referee. Hence, verbal protests should be also accepted.

Urgent Proposal No. 7

Korea

Rule 123, paragraph 2 a) and b)

Revise to read:

a) by a competitor (team captain) or competitors entered for the competition concerned or a coach or Team Leader accredited for the competition concerned.

b) with the approval of such competitor, competitors, a coach or TeamLeader, by members of the committee organizing the competition, or by representatives of those Members or affiliated clubs which have entered competitors.

Reason: It is difficult for competitor or competitors to engage in making protests, when it is limited to only competitor/competitors for protests to be lodged. It is more practical to allow the coach or Team Leader to lodge protests on behalf of competitor/competitors.

Urgent Proposal No. 8

Korea

Rule 123, paragraph 6

Revise to read:

6. Any other protests must be made at the latest immediately after the event ; they can be made right after the announcement of the determination of results of the competition concerned and before the start of next event.

Reason: To clarify on the timing from when protests can be actually made.

Urgent Proposal No. 9

Korea

Rule123, paragraph 8

Revise to read:

8. The Referee decides upon all protests. There is no appeal from his decisions except according to Rule 124. However, as for the protests on the competitions concerned, the Referee must evaluate video replay of the competition concerned immediately after the protests are lodged verbally or in writing to arrive at final judgements before the start of next event.

Reason: To confirm matters of disputes arising based on actual evaluation of the video replay of the competition concerned.

Urgent Proposal No. 10

United States, Figure

Rule 125, paragraph 4 a)

Amend to read as follows:

The ISU Council may exclude . . . for a definite period, any individual (whether skater, ISU ~~Officer~~ Office Holder, Advisor, Honorary Member, ~~Official~~— Judge, Referee, Federation Official or other member of an ISU Member) who can be proved to have acted against the spirit of the Constitution or the Regulations or otherwise improperly. If such misconduct constitutes an ethical violation, the suspension shall be for life. Improper conduct by a skater who . . .

Reason: Same as for Rule 104.

Urgent Proposal No. 11

Short Track Speed Skating Technical Committee

Rule 134, paragraph 3 e)

Amend to read:

In Speed Skating and at European Short Track and Junior Short Track Championships...

Reason: Clarification, to be consistent with Rule 133.

Urgent Proposal No. 12

Korea

Rule 126 paragraph 8,

The Referees, Substitute Referees, Assistant Referees and Starters shall be appointed by the President, or with the consent of the former, by the Vice President of the respective sport discipline.

Reason: Instead of Assistant Referee appointed by the President substituting the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury, a necessity arises for Substitute Referees to be appointed by the President in the first place so that Substitute Referees appointed by the President will substitute the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury or in case the management of the competitions conducted by the Referee results in disputes and problems brought requiring Substitute Referee to handle for better management of the events.

Urgent Proposal No. 13

Korea

Rule 129 paragraph 5

The Referees, Substitute Referees, Assistant Referees and Starters shall be appointed by the President, or with the consent of the former, by the Vice President of the respective sport discipline.

Reason: Instead of Assistant Referee appointed by the President substituting the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury, a necessity arises for Substitute Referees to be appointed by the President in the first place so that Substitute Referees appointed by the President will substitute the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury or in case the management of the competitions conducted by the Referee results in disputes and problems brought requiring Substitute Referee to handle for better management of the events.

Urgent Proposal No. 14

Sweden, Speed

Rule 227, paragraph 2

Amend second sentence to read:

However 24 skaters shall qualify for the last distance...

Reason: As the last distance is run by quartet, the competition is reasonably short in time. That makes the competition in some way a bit of a bore for the last day. Also due to the fact of the big number of participants in the World Junior Championships (53 men + 50 ladies in 2002, 54 men + 44 ladies in 2001).

Urgent Proposal No. 15

Sweden, Speed

Rule 273, paragraph 1 a), b)

Amend to read as follows:

a) For Men over distances of 2 x 500m...

b) For Ladies over distances of 2 x 500m...

Reason: The fact that 500m in the Championships today is run as a two times 500m event.

Urgent Proposal No. 16
Speed Skating Technical Committee

Rule 274, paragraphs 1 and 2:

Amend as follows:

1. A World Cup ~~in single distances~~ for Ladies and Men will be organized as an ISU Event under the responsibility of the ISU Speed Skating Technical Committee.
2. The Speed skating World Cup is a series of International Competitions. World Cup points are awarded in each Competition. The World Cup final standings for each distance or distance category will be decided by the total points accumulated by each competitor during the season.

Reason: The Speed Skating World Cup was introduced to pave the way for ISU Championships for Single distances, and the present wording of the Rule reflects this original purpose. The World Cup series are now the main international events in speed skating besides the ISU Championships and represent the best opportunities for general promotion of the sport and for demonstrating new forms of competitions. The wording of the Rule should therefore not exclude the possibility of introducing new elements (e.g. distance combinations and team events) into the World Cup program.

Urgent Proposal No. 17

Japan

Rule 280, paragraph 6 f) new

f) In order to get information necessary for judgement of infringement, three video cameras must be set up, with one each at each side on the outside of the track and one at the ceiling above the center point of the rink at ISU Championships, Olympic Winter Games, Qualifying Competitions for Olympic Winter Games and ISU events.

Reason: In recent races, skaters' speed increased to a great degree making it difficult for Referees to make correct decision merely with their human judgement. In order to solve this problem, use of video tape replay system must be approved to help Referees make correct decision (in connection with the proposal of new Rule 291, paragraph 5 i))

Urgent Proposal No. 18

Japan

Rule 290, paragraph 6 a), b)

Amend third and fourth items of subparagraph a) to read as follows:

- a) - Attended an ISU course for International Officials within the past 24 months.
- For Referees: have passed the appropriate examination during the past 24 months.

Amend first and fourth items of subparagraph b) to read as follows:

- b) - Attended the ISU course for Referees conducted by the Technical Committee once every year.
- Lacking the above-mentioned requirement, nomination and appointment of ISU Referee is not allowed.

Reason: By imposing obligation to attend ISU course referred to in paragraph 1 and paragraph 6, this amendment aims at making the attendance to it the indispensable condition for nomination of ISU officials and appointment of officials for ISU event. Furthermore this amendment aims at improving the quality of ISU officials by regularly holding ISU course where competition exercises seminar and decision making using video tape replay system are compulsory in addition to theory seminar.

Urgent Proposal No. 19

Korea

Rule 290, paragraph 7 a)

Revise to read:

- a) The Referees, Substitute Referees, Assistant Referees and Starters shall be appointed in accordance with Rule 126, paragraph 8 for the Olympic Winter Games and in accordance with Rule 129, paragraph 5 for ISU Championships.

Reason: Instead of Assistant Referee appointed by the President substituting the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury, a necessity arises for Substitute Referees to be appointed by the President in the first place so that Substitute Referees appointed by the President will substitute the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury or in case the management of the competitions conducted by the Referee results in disputes and problems brought requiring Substitute Referee to handle for better management of the events.

Urgent Proposal No. 20

Japan

Rule 291, paragraph 5 i) new

- i) When there is a doubt about the decision for infringement, Referee(s) can use video tape replay system as a reference for decision making.

Reason: In recent races, skaters' speed increased to a great degree making it difficult for Referees to make correct decision merely with their human judgement. In order to solve this problem, use of video tape replay system must be approved to help Referees make correct decision (in connection with the proposal of new Rule 280, paragraph 6 f)).

Urgent Proposal No. 21

Korea

Rule 291 new sub-paragraph 6 d)

Add new sub-paragraph:

d) The Substitute Referee will substitute the Referee in case the Referee is no longer able to do his (her) job due to illness or injury, or in case other circumstances arise necessitating to replace the Referee.

Reason: As explained in the cases for Rule 290 paragraph 7 a), Rule 126 paragraph 8 and Rule 129 paragraph 5 above.

Urgent Proposal No. 22

Korea

Rule 291 paragraph 7 a)

Delete sub-paragraph 7 a) and re-number 7 b) as 7 a), 7 c) as 7 b), and 7 d) as 7 c).

Reason: From the results of Rule 291 new sub-paragraph 6 d) added as explained above.

Urgent Proposal No. 23

Japan

Rule 291, paragraph 17

Amend second sentence to read:

The announcer will keep the spectators fully informed through the competition. In addition when requested by the Referee he will announce concretely where and how the disqualified skater made infringement and whether there is advancement.

The announcer shall also ensure that all Officials, team leaders, coaches and skaters are kept fully informed by public announcements regarding competition details.

All announcements are in English. If applicable, the same announcements may be made in a second language.

Reason: In order to avoid turmoil arising from Referee's decision after the race, it is necessary to provide concrete information.

Urgent Proposal No. 24

Japan

Rule 292, paragraph 5 b)

Amend to read:

b) A disqualification must be announced at the end of each race, both to the competitor/s team leader or coach, Competitor Steward, and over the public address system for the benefit of the spectators, concretely where and how the disqualified skater made infringement and whether there is advancement.

Reason: In order to avoid turmoil arising from Referee's decision after the race, it is necessary to provide concrete information.

Urgent Proposal No. 25

United States, Figure

Rule 352, paragraphs 2, 4

Rule 542, paragraphs 2, 4

Rule 737, paragraphs 2, 4

2. After the completion of each competitor's performance the scoreboard must display the median total score for each competitor. Displaying the two tie breaker scores is optional, however the tie breaker score must be displayed when they are used to resolve tied competitors. The reading of the score(s) is optional.

4. When public marking is employed at an International competition, the marks shall be shown ...

Reason: Changing the method of results' calculation will make it difficult, if not impossible, for one or two judges acting in bad faith to intentionally skew the ranking.

Urgent Proposal No. 26

United States, Figure

Rule 353, paragraph 7

7. In all singles and pair events:

- a) The mark for required elements multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added to the mark for presentation. The sum is the total score for the short program for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.

- b) The mark for skating techniques and the presentation mark multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added together. The sum is the total score for the interpretive free skating program for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.
- c) The mark for technical merit and the presentation mark multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added together. The sum is the total score for the free skating program for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.

Reason: Same as for Rule 352.

Urgent Proposal No. 27

United States, Figure

Rule 354, paragraphs 2,3,4,5,6

Rule 544, paragraphs 2,3,4,5,6

Rule 739, paragraphs 2,3,4,5,6

Delete paragraphs 2 through 6 and replace with the following:

2. a) For each individual competitor, the computer shall randomly select the seven (7) of the ten (10) Judges' marks to be used to calculate the median total score; whereas in panels with eight (8) Judges, the computer shall randomly select the five (5) Judge's marks.
 b) When calculations are done manually, without the assistance of any computer, the procedure outlined in a) above shall be omitted. The tenth judge, on a nine judge panel, will be the substitute judge; and similarly the eighth judge on a seven judge panel.
3. After the completion of each competitor's performance the median total score shall be ascertained by deleting alternatively the highest and lowest Judge's total score.
4. For each competitor a comparison with all other competitors will be made. The competitor with the highest median total score shall be placed best, the second highest second best and so forth.
5. If two or more competitors receive the same median total score, the first tie breaker shall be the average of the three (3) middle total scores. The second tie breaker shall be the average of the five (5) middle total scores. The average of the middle scores will be expressed to three decimal places, rounding up when the fourth decimal place is 5 or higher.

Reason: Same as for Rule 352. Additionally, randomly selecting, for each competitor, the judges whose marks will be used further reduces the possibility of a single judge affecting the result for reasons other than the skater's performance.

Urgent Proposal No. 28

United States, Figure

Rule 355, paragraphs 1, 2, 3

Rule 545, paragraphs 1, 2, 3

Rule 740, paragraphs 1, 2, 3

1. The competitor who has the highest median total score is placed first. The next highest placed second, etc.
2. If two or more competitors have obtained the same median total score after applying the first and second tie breakers, then the competitors tied for that place must be announced as tied. If two competitors so tie for first place, the next place to be awarded is third place (not second). If two competitors so tie for second place, the next place to be awarded is fourth place (not third), and so on.
3. Delete

Reason: Same as for Rule 352.

Urgent Proposal No. 29

Canada, Figure

Rule 367, paragraph 3 new

Rule 551, paragraph 2, new

Also applicable to Synchronized Skating

3. Modifications of a technical nature (for example the judging system used) may be implemented by the Council on a trial basis in ISU Championships.
 - a) Members shall be notified of such modifications through an ISU Communication or Circular Letter.
 - b) Until the proposed revised judging system is fully implemented, an interim judging system as outlined below may be used by any competition or Championship as determined by the Technical Committee or Council as applicable.

Randomly draw 14 judges for competition panels. These 14 judges will sit on the panel in the order drawn. Immediately prior to the competition, randomly draw on a secret basis 9 judges whose marks and ordinals will be used to calculate the final result. To assist with the protection of the anonymity of the judges (and to retain the secret ballot process), the marks and ordinals of the 9 judges are displayed in the order in which the judges are randomly selected in the secret random draw. The Judges Personal Records of the competition are the confidential property of the ISU.

Reason: To allow the Council to experiment with new judging systems (or parts thereof) at competitions and championships (present rules 367 and 551 do not permit the use of these systems at Championship events), and to outline a suggested interim method for use prior to the full implementation of the revised judging system for the purpose of protecting the judge from influence of outside pressures. Please note that Skate Canada has submitted a document to the ISU outlining its conditional support for the ISU New Judging System in Figure System.

Urgent Proposal No. 30

ISU Council

Rule 379, paragraph 6 ii) new and re-number:

d) Draw for skating order in the last group to skate. Starting order will be drawn in two parts - A and B.

Part A - Skaters placing 1st, 2nd and 3rd will draw for starting order 22-24.

Part B - Skaters placing 4th, 5th and 6th will draw for starting order 19-21.

Reason: To provide the best possible order of skating in interest and excitement for the viewing audience.

Urgent Proposal No. 31

United States, Figure

Rule 382, paragraphs 2, 3, 4 new

New paragraphs 2 through 4 to read as follows:

2. Annually, prior to October 1st, the Figure Skating Technical Committee will review, adjust when necessary, and announce those Members within each of five (5) Zones. These zones must be balanced among the eight geographical regions of Asia, Balkan States, Eastern Europe, Europe, Mediterranean countries, North America, Scandinavia and Oceania such that no region or regions may dominate any given judging panel.
3. Annually, prior to January 1st, the draw of judging panels, by Member, for the ISU World and World Junior Championships shall be conducted by the Vice President for Figure Skating, or their designee, and the ISU Representative or Technical Delegate of each Championship. The time and place of this draw must be communicated to each member.
 - a) Ten (10) Members will be drawn, two (2) from each Zone for each final event. One (1) alternate shall also be drawn from each Zone; to replace a drawn Member from their Zone, should the drawn Member not be able to nominate a judge.
 - b) The order of the draw shall be Pairs, Men, Ladies and Ice Dance.
 - c) There must be an initial draw to see which Zone will draw first, then proceeding numerically, returning to the first zone after Zone 5.
 - d) One (1) Member will be drawn at a time from each Zone.
 - e) When an event is completed, the Members drawn are returned to their respective Zone bag for the next draw.
 - f) The draw for the Substitute Judge on each panel shall take place the day before the final event begins.
 - g) To accommodate Qualifying Rounds for Men and Ladies, the thirty (30) Judges already drawn for Pairs, Men and Ladies, plus two (2) additional Judges who shall be drawn, including alternates, to total thirty-two (32), shall be used to compose the panels for the Qualifying Rounds. The draw of all Qualifying Round panels will take place the day prior to the first Qualifying Round.
4. Each Member having been drawn for a World or World Junior Championship, the subscription or financial obligations of which to the ISU are not in arrears, may nominate one (1) judge, and one (1) alternate, for each panel for which the Member was drawn according to Para. 3 a) above.

Old Paragraph 2 (to be renumbered paragraph 5):

Line 1, delete second ISU and insert "European and Four Continents".

Line 2, Championship should be changed to "Championships".

Paragraph 5 c) (ii) (to be renumbered paragraph 8):

Line 2, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)".

Paragraph 6 (to be renumbered paragraph 9):

Lines 2 and 3, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)" and "seven (7)" with "eight (8)".

Paragraph 7. a) (to be renumbered paragraph 10):

Line 3, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)" and "seven (7)" with "eight (8)".

Lines 3 to 6, delete the following: "and a substitute judge, drawn at random from among all the Judges nominated and present (including exchange Judges) for the event in question, but without regard to the allocation of competitors from their own Members".

Paragraph 7. c) (to be renumbered paragraph 10):

Line 2, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)".

Line 6, delete "and a substitute judge".

Paragraph 8 (to be renumbered paragraph 11):

Line 1, insert after the word “Judges”, the following: “for the European and Four Continents Championships”.

Paragraph 8. d) (ii) (to be renumbered paragraph 11):

Line 1, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)”.

Paragraph 8. d) (iii) to be renumbered paragraph 11):

Delete lines 1 and 2.

Last line, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)” and “seven (7)” with “eight (8)”.

Paragraph 9 (to be renumbered paragraph 12):

Delete and replace with the following:

In case of the withdrawal of two (2) of the official Judges, the Assistant Referee shall take the seat of one of the withdrawn Judges and continue marking the event as a Judge until the end of the event.

Paragraph 10 (to be renumbered paragraph 13):

Line 2, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)”.

Line 3, replace “seven (7)” with “eight (8)”.

Paragraph 11:

To be renumbered paragraph 14

(Additional drafting may be necessary.)

Reason: Requiring judging panels to be geographically balanced by zone will ensure that the results will not be culturally or regionally biased. It is recommended that a committee be appointed to determine the appropriate distribution between, at the least, the following geographical regions: Asia, Balkan States, Eastern Europe, Europe, Mediterranean countries, North America, Scandinavia, and Oceania. In determining the appropriate geographic balance, the Committee should consider the number of judges per region. Additionally, this permits the utilization of a random selection of Judges’ marks, with the tenth Judge, currently the substitute Judge, acting as an official judge whose marks can be used in the calculation of the median total score.

Urgent Proposal No. 32

Figure Skating Technical Committee

Rule 410, paragraph 17 new

17. Referees for ISU Championships who, in the opinion of the Technical Committee based upon the evaluation of their activity, can referee and judge pair skating in ISU Championships and Olympic Winter Games, are marked in the list of Referees for ISU Championships with an *.

Reason: To use in pair skating events at ISU Championships and Olympic Winter Games only Referees and Judges with sufficient experience and knowledge of pair skating.

Urgent Proposal No. 33

Japan

Rule 411, paragraph 4 new

Rule 582, paragraph 4 new

Rule 808, paragraph 4 new

The Referee should prepare to hold a press conference explaining Judges’ marks when the press conference was requested by the public. The Referee has the right to ask Judges for the reasons for their marks before the press conference.

Renumber subsequent paragraphs accordingly.

Reason: It is important to explain to the public how the marks were reached. Many press do not know why Judges gave their marks. Lack of information is the main reason for inaccurate accusation for the marks of Judges. The Referee should provide accurate information to the public to clear the misunderstandings and to protect his/her Judges panel.

Urgent Proposal No. 34

United States, Figure

Rule 420, paragraph 6

Rule 587, paragraph 6

Rule 814, paragraph 6

At the end of line 9, the following should be added:

, unless there was random selection of seven (7) Judges’ marks from a ten (10) judge panel or of five (5) Judges’ marks from an eight (8) judge panel.

Reason: Same as for Rule 382.

Urgent Proposal No. 35

Figure Skating Technical Committee

Rule 425, paragraph 18, new

17. Judges for ISU Championships who, in the opinion of the Technical Committee based upon the evaluation of their activity, can judge pair skating in ISU Championships and Olympic Winter Games, are marked in the list of Judges for ISU Championships with an *.

Reason: To use in pair skating events at ISU Championships and Olympic Winter Games only Referees and Judges with sufficient experience and knowledge of pair skating.

Urgent Proposal No. 36

Australia, Figure

Rule 427, paragraph 6 new, 9

Penalties

6. In case of repeated national bias or misconduct deserving criticism by the ISU, of one or more Judges of the same Member in ISU Events (ISU Championships, Junior and Senior, the Olympic Winter Games, Grand Prix Events and Final, Junior and Senior), in two consecutive seasons or in three non-consecutive seasons, the ISU Member concerned shall automatically lose the right to nominate Judges for ISU Championships and/or the Olympic Winter Games and/or all international competitions in the immediately following season.

Renumber subsequent paragraphs accordingly.

Add at end of current paragraph 9:

The suspension of a Judge (or Referee when acting as such) for misconduct shall be effective in all disciplines.

Reason: a) To discourage Members from putting pressure on their Judges to support their own competitors or to act improperly.

b) A Judge suspended for misconduct should not be allowed to judge in another discipline during the time of his/her suspension.

Urgent Proposal No. 37

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 509, paragraph 3 a)

Change first line to read:

The first mark is for Composition/Required Elements which consist of:

Reason: The general public and media do not realize there are required elements in the Original Dance.

Subsequent drafting will be required.

Urgent Proposal No. 38

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 510, paragraph 5 a)

Change first line to read:

The first mark is for Technical Merit/Required Elements which consist of:

Reason: The general public and media do not realize there are required elements in the Free Dance. Subsequent drafting will be required.

Urgent Proposal No. 39

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 520, paragraphs 1, 3

Amend as follows:

1. ISU Senior Championships and Olympic Winter Games in Ice Dancing shall consist of:

- a) one Compulsory Dance;
- b) Original Dance;
- c) Free Dance;

Delete word "Senior" and revise to read:

3. ISU Junior Championships in Ice Dancing shall consist of:

- a) two Compulsory Dances
- b) Original Dance
- c) Free Dance

Reason: The competition in Compulsory Dances is a very important integral part of the ice dance event and it is a subject to some of the most objective judging. At the same time, the judging of the compulsory dances in Championships can take a considerable time but is particularly necessary at Junior events. Only one dance being skated is more acceptable for the Senior events.

Urgent Proposal No. 40
Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 520, paragraph 5 new

5. Novice International Competitions in Ice Dancing shall consist of:

a) Two Compulsory Dances

b) Free Dance

Renumber subsequent paragraphs accordingly.

Plus consequential drafting.

Reason: To introduce the word Novice into the competition schedule.

Urgent Proposal No. 41
Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 522, paragraph 1

Amend as follows and delete the last sentence:

1. If a draw is required, the dance(s) to be skated shall be drawn and announced prior to the first official practice. The draw shall be carried out by one of the Technical Delegates, Referee or Assistant Referee in the presence of, if possible, one participating couple.

Reason: Drafting.

Urgent Proposal No. 42
United States, Figure

Rule 527, paragraphs 2 new, 3 new, 4 new

2. Annually, prior to October 1st, the Ice Dancing Technical Committee will review, adjust when necessary, and announce those Members within each of five (5) Zones. These zones must be balanced among the eight geographical regions of Asia, Balkan States, Eastern Europe, Europe, Mediterranean countries, North America, Scandinavia and Oceania such that no region or regions may dominate any given judging panel.
3. Annually, prior to January 1st, the draw of judging panels, by Member, for the ISU World and World Junior Championships shall be conducted by the Vice President for Figure Skating, or their designee, and the ISU Representative or Technical Delegate of each Championship. The time and place of this draw must be communicated to each member.
 - a) Ten (10) Members will be drawn, two (2) from each Zone for each segment of the event. One (1) alternate shall also be drawn from each Zone; to replace a drawn Member from their Zone, should the drawn Member not be able to nominate a judge.
 - b) There must be an initial draw to see which Zone will draw first, then proceeding numerically, returning to the first zone after Zone 5.
 - c) One (1) Member will be drawn at a time from each Zone.
 - d) When a segment is completed, the Members drawn will be returned to their respective Zone bag for the draw for the next segment.
 - e) The draw for the Substitute Judge on each panel shall take place the day before each segment begins.
 - f) For Qualifying Rounds, if needed, the panels of Judges shall be drawn from those Judges already drawn according to paragraph a). The draw of all Qualifying Round panels will take place the day prior to the first Qualifying Round.
4. Each Member having been drawn for a World or World Junior Championship, the subscription or financial obligations of which to the ISU are not in arrears, may nominate one (1) judge, and one (1) alternate, for each panel for which the Member was drawn according to Para. 3 a) above.

Old Paragraph 2 (to be renumbered paragraph 5):

Line 1, delete second ISU and insert "European and Four Continents".

Line 2, Championships should be changed to "Championships".

Old Paragraphs 3 through 5 to be renumbered as 6 through 8.

Paragraph 6 (to be renumbered paragraph 9)

Lines 2 and 3, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)" and "seven (7)" with "eight (8)".

Paragraph 7 (to be renumbered paragraph 10)

Line 1, insert after the word "Judges", the following: "for the European and Four Continents Championships".

Paragraph 8. a) (to be renumbered paragraph 11)

Line 2, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)" and "seven (7)" with "eight (8)".

Paragraph 8. b) (to be renumbered paragraph 11)

Line 1, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)" and "seven (7)" with "eight (8)".

Paragraph 8. c) (to be renumbered paragraph 11)

Line 1, replace "nine (9)" with "ten (10)" and "seven (7)" with "eight (8)".

Paragraph 9 (to be renumbered paragraph 12)

Delete and replace with the following:

In case of the withdrawal of two (2) of the official Judges, the Assistant Referee shall take the seat of one of the withdrawn Judges and continue marking the event as a Judge until the end of the event.

Paragraph 10 (to be renumbered paragraph 13)

Line 2, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)”.

Line 3, replace “seven (7)” with “eight (8)”.

Paragraph 11:

To be renumbered paragraph 14.

(Additional drafting may be necessary.)

Reason: Same as for Rule 382, Figure Skating.

Urgent Proposal No. 43

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 527, paragraph 8 a)

Revise to read:

8. a) If the total of dance judges present is sufficient for two panel(s) of nine (9) but not less than seven (7) judges and a substitute judge, each compulsory dance or Qualifying Group A and B (if there are 25 or more couples entered) will be judged by a different panel in accordance.....

Reason: Drafting.

Urgent Proposal No. 44

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 539, paragraph 2

Amend as follows:

2. a) For ISU Junior Championships, two Compulsory dances shall be drawn from the 4 announced annually and shall be drawn and announced before the first official practice.

b) For ISU Senior Championships, two Compulsory dances of the 4 announced annually shall be selected by the IDTC for both the Four Continents and European Championships and two dances out of the remaining two dances - for the World Championships.

One Compulsory dance to be skated shall be drawn and announced before the first official practice from two dances selected for the respective Championships.

c) For Olympic Winter Games one Compulsory dance to be skated shall be drawn before the first official practice from the 4 announced annually.

Reason: Drafting.

Urgent Proposal No. 45

United States, Figure

Rule 543, paragraph 7

7. In all dance events:

a) Each compulsory dance will be a separate part of the event. The mark for Technique multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added to the mark for Timing/Expression. The sum is the total score for each compulsory dance for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places. The total score for each compulsory dance will not be added together.

b) The mark for Composition and the mark for Presentation multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added together for the original dance. The sum is the total score for the original dance or interpretive dance for each judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.

c) The mark for Technical Merit and the Presentation mark multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added together for the free dance. The sum is the total score for the free dance for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.

Reason: Same as for Rule 352, Figure Skating

Urgent Proposal No. 46

Ice Dance Technical Committee

Rule 559, paragraphs 1-6

Amend as follows:

1. In all ISU Championships, both Senior and Junior all dance couples entered will skate compulsory dance(s) under following provisions:

2. If the total number of entries, at the time of the first draw for the starting order does not exceed twenty-four (24), the draw for compulsory dance(s) will be in accordance with Rule 535, paragraph 1, and all entered couples will take part in all parts of the event.

3. If the total number of entries, at the time of the first draw for the starting order exceed 24, the procedure described in paragraphs below shall apply.

4. a) For skating compulsory dance(s) all entered couples will be divided in two (2) Qualifying Rounds (Groups A and B) of an equal number in the following manner:
 - (i) those couples who placed among the top twenty-four (24) in the same Championships of the immediately preceding year shall be assigned.....
 - (ii) amend as it is already proposed (IDTC proposal 404)
 - (iii) amend as it is already proposed (IDTC proposal 404)
 - b) Each of these two Qualifying Groups for the same dance shall be judged separately by a different panel in accordance with Rule 527 paragraph 8 a).
 - c) In ISU Junior Championships the Qualifying Rounds (A and B) for each compulsory dance shall be judged as follows: (IDTC Proposal 406)
 - Qualifying Group A skates CD1 and is judged by Panel 1
 - Qualifying Group A skates CD2 and is judged by Panel 2
 - Qualifying Group B skates CD1 and is judged by Panel 1
 - Qualifying Group B skates CD2 and is judged by Panel 2
 - When Qualifying groups A and B are amalgamated for the purpose of the draw for the Original Dance, the couple placing highest in the compulsory dance which was the second to be skated shall draw first.
 - d) In ISU Senior Championships the qualifying Rounds (A and B) for the compulsory dance shall be judged as follows:
 - Qualifying Group A skates CD 1 and is judged by Panel 1
 - Qualifying Group B skates CD 1 and is judged by Panel 2
 - e) The result for each compulsory dance shall be decided separately for each Qualifying Group A and B under Rule 545 and the final finishing order shall be published in the final protocols.
5. Couples placed from 1 to 15, including those tied in each Qualifying Group (A and B) shall qualify for the Original Dance (30 couples in total). The draw for starting order for the Original Dance is conducted according to Rule 535, paragraph 4.
 6. A new panel of Judges will be drawn for the Original Dance, at the conclusion of the Compulsory Dance(s) and a new panel of Judges will be drawn for the Free Dance, at the conclusion of the Original Dance, both in accordance with Rule 559 paragraphs 8 and 9 and Rule 527, paragraphs b) and c) respectively.

Reason: The same as for IDTC Proposal 404 and drafting.

Urgent Proposal No. 47

United States, Figure

Rule 738, paragraph 7

7. a) In all Synchronized Skating events with a short program:
 - (i) The mark for required elements multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added to the mark for presentation. The sum is the total score for the short program for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.
 - (ii) The mark for technical merit and the presentation mark multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added together. The sum is the total score for the free skating program for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.
- b) In all Synchronized Skating events without a short program:

The mark for technical merit and the presentation mark multiplied by a factor of 1.01 shall be added together. The sum is the total score for the free skating program for each Judge. Each total score shall be expressed to three decimal places.

Reason: Same as for Rule 352, Figure Skating

Urgent Proposal No. 48

United States, Figure

Rule 772, paragraphs 2,3,4

New paragraphs 2 through 4 to read as follows:

2. Annually, prior to October 1st, the Synchronized Skating Technical Committee will review, adjust when necessary, and announce those Members within each of five (5) Zones. These zones must be balanced among the eight geographical regions of Asia, Balkan States, Eastern Europe, Europe, Mediterranean countries, North America, Scandinavia and Oceania such that no region or regions may dominate any given judging panel.
3. Annually, prior to January 1st, the draw of judging panels, by Member, for the ISU Synchronized Skating Championships shall be conducted by the Vice President for Figure Skating, or their designee, and the ISU Representative or Technical Delegate of the Championships. The time and place of this draw must be communicated to each member.
 - a) Ten (10) Members will be drawn, two (2) from each Zone for each final event. One (1) alternate shall also be drawn from each Zone; to replace a drawn Member from their Zone, should the drawn Member not be able to nominate a judge.

- b) There must be an initial draw to see which Zone will draw first, then proceeding numerically, returning to the first zone after Zone 5.
 - c) One (1) Member will be drawn at a time from each Zone.
 - d) To accommodate Qualifying Rounds, the ten (10) Judges already drawn, plus six (6) additional Judges who shall be drawn, including alternates, to total sixteen (16), shall be used to compose the panels for the Qualifying Rounds. The draw of all Qualifying Round panels will take place the day prior to the first Qualifying Round.
4. Each Member having been drawn for the ISU Synchronized Championships, the subscription or financial obligations of which to the ISU are not in arrears, may nominate one (1) judge, and one (1) alternate, for each panel for which the Member was drawn according to Para. 3 a) above.
- Old Paragraph 2 to be renumbered paragraph 5.
 Paragraph 5 to be renumbered paragraph 8.
 Paragraph 6 (to be renumbered paragraph 9):
 Lines 2 and 3, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)” and “seven (7)” with “eight (8)”.
- Paragraph 7. a) (to be renumbered paragraph 10):
 Line 3, replace “seven (7)” with “eight (8)” and “five (5)” with “six (6)”.
 Lines 3 to 6, delete the following: “and a substitute judge, drawn at random from among all the Judges nominated and present (including exchange Judges) for the event in question, but without regard to the allocation of competitors from their own Members”.
- Paragraph 7. b) (to be renumbered paragraph 10):
 Line 2, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)”.
 Line 6, delete “and a substitute judge”.
- Paragraph 8 to be renumbered paragraph 11.
 Paragraph 8. c)
 Line 1, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)”.
- Paragraph 9 (to be renumbered paragraph 12):
 Delete and replace with the following:
 In case of the withdrawal of two (2) of the official Judges, the Assistant Referee shall take the seat of one of the withdrawn Judges and continue marking the event as a Judge until the end of the event.
- Paragraph 10 (to be renumbered paragraph 13):
 Line 2, replace “nine (9)” with “ten (10)”.
 Line 3, replace “seven (7)” with “eight (8)”.
- Paragraph 11:
 To be renumbered paragraph 14
 (Additional drafting may be necessary.)
Reason: Same as for Rule 382, Figure Skating

Urgent Proposal No. 49

Australia, Figure

1. Composition of the Panel of Judges

- a) Each Member with competitors in an event will have the right to nominate a Judge. (same system as now).
- b) On the site of the Championships 14 Judges are drawn from amongst all the Judges present, nominated by their Members and with competitors in the event concerned.
- c) All the 14 Judges will be seated in the ice rink, seven on each side of the arena, and all will mark the competitors.
- d) From these 14 Judges, 9 Judges will be selected by secret, random draw by the computer immediately before the start of each part of an event separately (short program free skating).
- e) When the qualifying Free Skating is included, all Judges entered and with competitors in the event concerned will be divided at random into two equal group, A and B. The number of Judges in each group will depend on the number of Judges present. From each one of these groups 7 Judges will be selected by secret random draw immediately before the corresponding competition starts.

2. Calculation of the Results

- a) All the 14 Judges will enter their marks in the computer but only those of the 9 selected at random will be used for the calculation of the results.
- b) From the marks awarded by the 9 selected Judges, the computer will automatically delete the two highest and the two lowest marks awarded to each skater, independently, in the first and in the second mark, both in the short program and the free skating.
- c) If more than two Judges, or all the Judges, give the same mark, two of the highest and two of the lowest will be selected by the computer at random and deleted.
- d) The five remaining marks in the Required Elements (Technical Merit) and in Presentation will be displayed on the board and only those marks will be considered by the computer to calculate the results in each part of the event.

IN THE SHORT PROGRAM

- e) The five marks awarded in the Required Elements are added.
- f) The five marks in Presentation are added.
- g) The total points obtained by each competitor in the Required Elements and in Presentation are added and the total of points obtained is then multiplied by the appropriate factor 0.5 (33.3%).
- h) The competitor with the highest total of points will receive the first place, the second highest total the second and so on.
- i) If two or more competitors received an equal number of points, the competitor with the highest number of points in the Required Elements will receive the better place. If the total of points for the Required Elements is also equal, the competitors are tied.

IN THE FREE SKATING PROGRAM

- j) The five marks awarded in Technical Merit are added.
- k) The five marks awarded in Presentation are added.
- l) The total points obtained by each competitor in Technical Merit and in Presentation are added.
- m) The competitor with the highest total of points will receive the first place, the second highest the second and so on.
- n) If two or more competitors receive an equal number of points, the competitor with the highest number of points in Presentation will receive the better place. If these marks are also equal, the competitors are tied.

FINAL RESULT

- o) The total points received by each competitor in the Short Program and the total of points received by the same competitor in Free Skating are added. The competitor with the highest total of points in the two parts combined receives the best placement.
- p) If two or more competitors receive an equal total of points for all parts of the competition combined, the best placement shall be decided on the basis of the highest total of points for Free Skating. If this is also equal, the competitors concerned are tied.

CALCULATION OF THE RESULTS WHEN QUALIFYING FREE SKATING IS INCLUDED

1. For the qualifying Free Skating the panels of Judges are composed of seven Judges.
2. From the marks awarded by the seven selected Judges the computer will automatically delete the highest and the lowest mark awarded to each competitor in the mark for Technical Merit and for Presentation.
3. If more Judges, or all the Judges give the same marks, the highest and the lowest will be selected at random by the computer and deleted.
4. The five remaining marks in the Technical Merit and in Presentation will be displayed on the board and will be considered by the computer to calculate the result.
5. The five marks awarded in Technical Merit are added.
6. The five marks awarded in Presentation are added.
7. The total points obtained by each competitor in Technical Merit and in Presentation are added and the total of points obtained is then multiplied by the appropriate factor 0.4 (20%).
8. When a qualifying Free Skating is included, the factors to be applied to each part of an event are:

<u>Qualifying Free Skating</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>(20%)</u>
<u>Short Program</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>(30%)</u>
<u>Free Skating</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>(50%)</u>

3. Referees

The Referees should continue to be appointed by the ISU, chosen from those on the ISU list of Championship Referees with the only restriction that they should be of a different nationality from the medal winners of the year before in the event in which they will serve. This would add a guarantee of neutrality. The role of the Referee is very important to guarantee the smooth running of the events as well as to assess the work of the Judges. The event review meeting after each event should be retained because it is an important part of the Judge's education program. During this meeting the marks of the 14 Judges should be discussed.

Reason: The advantages of the system are:

- The system is simple, clear and easily understandable by everybody, which is what the public and media ask for;
- By deleting the (two) highest and the (two) lowest marks, the skaters are protected from eventual involuntary errors of one or more Judges;
- The marks of the five remaining Judges can be displayed without disclosing the identity of the Judges of the working panel. Since the marks to be deleted can be either the ones awarded in the first mark or the second independently, and sometimes they are chosen at random by the computer, it will be very difficult for the Judges on the panel to understand if they have been selected;
- The system practically inhibits any kind of possible deals or influences among the Judges, which is the main objective, although allowing the marks to be displayed;

- If the marks are not displayed our judging system will become even more secret, even more incomprehensible, will allow even more suspicion, which is just the opposite of what the media and public are looking for.

Milan,

May 13, 2002

Lausanne,

Ottavio Cinquanta, President

Fredi Schmid, General Secretary

Addendum A (relating to Urgent Proposal No. 26)

SHORT PROGRAM – sample marks									
RE Constant	RE Mark	Pres Mark	SP Total*	RE Mark	Pres Mark	SP Total*	RE Mark	Pres Mark	SP Total*
0.01	4.0	3.8	7.840	4.1	3.7	7.841	4.2	3.6	7.842
0.01	4.0	3.9	7.940	4.1	3.8	7.941	4.2	3.7	7.942
0.01	4.0	4.0	8.040	4.1	3.9	8.041	4.2	3.8	8.042
0.01	4.0	4.1	8.140	4.1	4.0	8.141	4.2	3.9	8.142
0.01	4.0	4.2	8.240	4.1	4.1	8.241	4.2	4.0	8.242
0.01	4.0	4.3	8.340	4.1	4.2	8.341	4.2	4.1	8.342
0.01	4.0	4.4	8.440	4.1	4.3	8.441	4.2	4.2	8.442
0.01	4.0	4.5	8.540	4.1	4.4	8.541	4.2	4.3	8.542
0.01	4.0	4.6	8.640	4.1	4.5	8.641	4.2	4.4	8.642
0.01	4.0	4.7	8.740	4.1	4.6	8.741	4.2	4.5	8.742
0.01	4.0	4.8	8.840	4.1	4.7	8.841	4.2	4.6	8.842
0.01	4.0	4.9	8.940	4.1	4.8	8.941	4.2	4.7	8.942
0.01	4.0	5.0	9.040	4.1	4.9	9.041	4.2	4.8	9.042
0.01	4.0	5.1	9.140	4.1	5.0	9.141	4.2	4.9	9.142
0.01	4.0	5.2	9.240	4.1	5.1	9.241	4.2	5.0	9.242
0.01	4.0	5.3	9.340	4.1	5.2	9.341	4.2	5.1	9.342
0.01	4.0	5.4	9.440	4.1	5.3	9.441	4.2	5.2	9.442
0.01	4.0	5.5	9.540	4.1	5.4	9.541	4.2	5.3	9.542

Total * =(RE *1.01) + Pres <rounded up to three decimal places>

FREE SKATING - sample marks									
PRES Constant	TM Mark	Pres Mark	FS Total**	TM Mark	Pres Mark	FS Total**	TM Mark	Pres Mark	FS Total**
0.01	4.0	3.5	7.535	4.1	3.4	7.534	4.2	3.3	7.533
0.01	4.0	3.6	7.636	4.1	3.5	7.635	4.2	3.4	7.634
0.01	4.0	3.7	7.737	4.1	3.6	7.736	4.2	3.5	7.735
0.01	4.0	3.8	7.838	4.1	3.7	7.837	4.2	3.6	7.836
0.01	4.0	3.9	7.939	4.1	3.8	7.938	4.2	3.7	7.937
0.01	4.0	4.0	8.040	4.1	3.9	8.039	4.2	3.8	8.038
0.01	4.0	4.1	8.141	4.1	4.0	8.140	4.2	3.9	8.139
0.01	4.0	4.2	8.242	4.1	4.1	8.241	4.2	4.0	8.240
0.01	4.0	4.3	8.343	4.1	4.2	8.342	4.2	4.1	8.341
0.01	4.0	4.4	8.444	4.1	4.3	8.443	4.2	4.2	8.442
0.01	4.0	4.5	8.545	4.1	4.4	8.544	4.2	4.3	8.543
0.01	4.0	4.6	8.646	4.1	4.5	8.645	4.2	4.4	8.644
0.01	4.0	4.7	8.747	4.1	4.6	8.746	4.2	4.5	8.745
0.01	4.0	4.8	8.848	4.1	4.7	8.847	4.2	4.6	8.846
0.01	4.0	4.9	8.949	4.1	4.8	8.948	4.2	4.7	8.947
0.01	4.0	5.0	9.050	4.1	4.9	9.049	4.2	4.8	9.048

Total **= TM + (Pres*1.01) <rounded up to three decimal places>

Addendum B (relating to Urgent Proposals No. 27)

										Median Total Score	1st Tie Breaker	2nd Tie Breaker
1	9.045	9.145	9.346	9.347	9.447	9.547	9.648	9.648	9.849	9.447		
2	8.844	9.044	9.145	9.145	9.246	9.346	9.447	9.447	9.448	9.246		
3	8.441	8.944	9.044	9.045	9.045	9.045	9.145	9.145	9.346	9.045	9.045	
4	8.642	8.843	8.844	8.944	9.045	9.045	9.145	9.145	9.145	9.045	9.011	
5	8.341	8.643	8.643	8.644	8.745	8.745	9.046	9.047	9.047	8.745	8.711	8.765
6	8.372	8.480	8.542	8.644	8.745	8.745	8.945	9.046	9.046	8.745	8.711	8.724
7	8.340	8.442	8.442	8.442	8.542	8.543	8.543	8.643	8.643	8.542		
8	8.241	8.341	8.442	8.442	8.442	8.442	8.443	8.443	8.945	8.442		
9	8.139	8.240	8.240	8.241	8.241	8.241	8.341	8.342	8.442	8.241		